The molecular orbital energy level diagrams for H₂, H₂⁺, H₂⁻ and O₂ are shown below. Fill in the valence electrons for each species in its ground state and label the types of orbitals (σ, σ*, π, π*).



The bond lengths of H_2^+ and H_2^- are different. Which do you expect to be longer? Explain your answer.

 H_2^- will be longer. Both have bond order of 0.5, but H_2^- is a multi-electron system so is destabilised by electron-electron repulsion. H_2^+ is single electron system so has no electron-electron repulsion.

Marks 6

Marks • The molecular orbital energy level diagrams for F_2 and B_2 are shown below. Fill in 3 the valence electrons for each species in its ground state. Hence calculate the bond order for F₂ and B₂ and indicate whether these molecules are paramagnetic or diamagnetic. F_2 B_2 σ^* σ^* π^* σ Energy π π Energy σ^* σ σ σ Bond order $\frac{1}{2}(4-2) = 1$ $\frac{1}{2}(8-6) = 1$ Paramagnetic or diamagnetic paramagnetic diamagnetic



• The OH radical is the most important species in the atmosphere for removing pollutants. A molecular orbital diagram of this species is shown below. Core orbitals are omitted.



Using arrows to indicate electrons with their appropriate spin, indicate on the above diagram the ground state occupancy of the atomic orbitals of O and H, and of the molecular orbitals of OH.

In the provided boxes on the above diagram, label the molecular orbitals as $n, \sigma, \sigma^*, \pi, \pi^*$, etc.

What is the bond order of the O–H bond?

There are 2 bonding and no anti-bonding electrons. The bond order is 1.

Why do we call OH a "radical"? How does the MO diagram support this?

It has an unpaired electron in a non-bonding orbital. This electron is found in the higher energy n orbital on the above MO diagram

• The following diagram shows the molecular orbital energy level diagrams for the valence electrons in the homonuclear diatomic molecules C₂, N₂ and O₂.

Complete the diagram by filling in the remaining *valence* electrons for each molecule and determining its bond order.

		C ₂	N ₂	O ₂		
	σ*			<u>LUM</u> O	σ*	
l l	π*		HOM		π*	
Energy	σ					
	π	↑↓ ↑↓		╇ ╪ ┿	$\pi \sigma$	
	σ*			_ ≜ ↓_	σ*	
	σ				σ	
Bond order:		2	3	2		

Explain why the energy of the lowest energy σ orbital shown above gets lower from left to right across the periodic table.

The atomic number of the elements increase moving across a period, reflecting an increase in the number of protons. This results in an increasing effective nuclear charge which pulls the electrons closer to the nucleus and lowers the energy of their orbitals.

Clearly label the HOMO and LUMO of O₂ on the diagram above.



Marks • In order to predict if it is possible to form the He_2^+ cation, complete the following 6 steps. In the boxes below, draw an energy level diagram showing labelled electron orbitals and their occupancies for the two reacting species, He and He⁺. In the other box below, draw an energy level diagram showing labelled electron orbitals and their occupancies in a postulated He_2^+ molecule. Use the same energy scale. He He^+ He_2^+ Energy Draw the lobe representation of the two occupied molecular orbitals in this molecule. Show all nuclei and nodal surfaces. nodal plane σ σ^* nuclei nuclei What is the bond order of this molecular ion? It has 2 bonding (σ) and 1 antibonding (σ^*) electron. Hence: bond order = $\frac{1}{2}$ (number of bonding – number of antibonding electrons) $=\frac{1}{2}(2-1)=\frac{1}{2}$ Make a prediction about the stability of He_2^+ in comparison to the H₂ molecule. H_2 has a bond order of 1 and He_2^+ has a bond order of $\frac{1}{2}$ so the bond in H_2 is probably stronger. As the nuclear charge in He is larger than H, the bonding orbital is more stable in He₂⁺ than the bonding orbital in H₂ so the $\frac{1}{2}$ bond in He₂⁺ is likely to be more than half as strong as the single bond in H₂.

• The following diagram shows the energy level diagram for the molecular orbitals in the HF molecule (centre), in comparison to the atomic energy levels of hydrogen (left) and fluorine (right).



and motifie (right).

Add the ground state electron configuration to the diagrams for all three species using the arrow notation for electron spin.

Label the orbitals of HF according to whether they are bonding, non-bonding, or antibonding.

Sketch the σ -bonding orbital showing the position of the atomic nuclei.



CHEM1101

Marks · Carbon forms a homonuclear diatomic molecule which is observed in comets, flames 6 and interstellar clouds. The molecular orbital energy level diagram provided shows the energies of the orbitals for the valence electrons in the C₂ molecule. Indicate on this diagram the ground state electronic configuration of C₂ using the arrow notation for electron spins. Energy In its ground state, is C₂ paramagnetic or diamagnetic? all electrons are paired: diamagnetic The lowest energy excited state of C2 possesses two electrons with parallel, unpaired spins. What is the bond order of C_2 in this excited state? The lowest energy excited state corresponds to an electron being excited from π to σ , leading to the configuration $\sigma^2 \sigma^{*2} \pi^3 \sigma^1$. There are 6 bonding electrons $(1 \times \sigma + 3 \times \pi + 1 \times \sigma)$ and 2 antibonding electrons $(2 \times \sigma^*)$. The bond order is thus: bond order = $\frac{1}{2}$ (number of bonding e⁻ – number of antibonding e⁻) $=\frac{1}{2}(6-2)=2$ Answer: 2 Starting in this excited state, further exciting an electron from the lowest σ^* orbital to the next lowest σ orbital brings about the doubly excited state responsible for green emission in flames. What is the bond order of this doubly excited state? This excitation leads to the configuration $\sigma^2 \sigma^{*1} \pi^3 \sigma^2$. There are now 7 bonding electrons $(1 \times \sigma + 3 \times \pi + 2 \times \sigma)$ and 1 antibonding electrons ($2 \times \sigma^*$). The bond order is thus: bond order = $\frac{1}{2}(7-1) = 2$ Answer: 3



Why do we only need to consider the valence electrons when discussing the bonding of CN?

The core electrons are tightly held onto by the atoms. There is little overlap between the core orbitals on the two atoms.

Even if the 1s core electrons on each atom are considered, they would occupy *both* the bonding σ and antibonding σ^* orbitals formed from their overlap. This would not change the bond order. Their contribution to the bonding is minimal.

CHEM1101

Marks • Carbon and oxygen can combine to form carbon monoxide, the second most abundant 6 molecule in the universe. The molecular orbital energy level diagram provided shows the energies of the orbitals for the valence electrons in CO. Indicate on this diagram the ground state electronic configuration of CO using the arrow notation for electron spins. Energy What homonuclear diatomic molecule has the same electronic structure as CO? Comment on the bond orders of these two species. N₂. This is has the same number of valence electrons – it is *isoelectronic*. N has 5 valence electrons so N_2 has $2 \times 5 = 10$ valence electrons. C has 4 and O has 6 valence electrons so CO has 4 + 6 = 10 valence electrons. They both have a triple bond: i.e. they both a bond order of 3: bond order = $\frac{1}{2}$ (no. of bonding electrons – no. of antibonding electrons) $=\frac{1}{2}(8-2)=3$ How would adding an electron to CO to form CO⁻ affect the strength of the bond between the two atoms? Explain your answer. The extra electron would go into the π^* antibonding orbital. The bond order would consequently drop from 3 to 2.5: bond order = $\frac{1}{2}(8 - 3) = 2.5$ Hence the bond would be weaker. Are the atomic orbital energies of oxygen lower or higher than carbon? Explain your answer and comment on how this may affect the electron density in bonding orbitals of the CO molecule. Oxygen is more electronegative: the energies of its orbitals are lower than those of carbon. This is because oxygen is the smaller atom with a higher nuclear charge. The bond would therefore be polarised with a greater amount of the

shared electron density on the oxygen end of the bond.





Answer: 3.172 eV











SO

$$\lambda = \frac{(6.626 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s})(2.998 \times 10^8 \text{ m s}^{-1})}{(1.602 \times 10^{-18} \text{ J})} = 1.2 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m or } 120 \text{ nm}$$

Answer: 1.2×10^{-7} m or 120 nm