\_

•	• Carbon monoxide is commonly used in the reduction of iron ore to iron metal. Iron ore is mostly haematite, $Fe_2O_3$ , in which case the complete reduction reaction is:						
	$Fe_2O_3(s) + 3CO(g) \rightarrow 2Fe(s) + 3CO_2(g)$ $\Delta H^\circ = -25 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$						
	Incomplete reduction, however, results in the formation of magnetite, Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> :						
	$3Fe_2O_3(s) + CO(g) \rightarrow 2Fe_3O_4(s) + CO_2(g)  \Delta H^\circ = -47 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$						
	Use these heats of reaction to calculate the enthalpy change when one mole of magnetite is reduced to iron metal using carbon monoxide.						
	The required reaction is:						
	$Fe_3O_4(s) + 4CO(g) \rightarrow 3Fe(s) + 4CO_2(g)$						
	The second reaction in the question is reversed so that it leads to loss of $Fe_3O_4(s)$ :						
	$2Fe_3O_4(s) + CO_2(g) \rightarrow 3Fe_2O_3(s) + CO(g)$ $\Delta H^\circ = +47 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$						
	This reaction is then added to $3 \times$ the first reaction:						
	$3Fe_2O_3(s) + 9CO(g) \rightarrow 6Fe(s) + 9CO_2(g)$ $\Delta H^\circ = 3 \times -25 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$						
	$2Fe_3O_4(s) + CO_2(g) \rightarrow 3Fe_2O_3(s) + CO(g) \qquad \Delta H^\circ = +47 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$						
	$2Fe_3O_4(s) + 8CO(g) \rightarrow 6Fe(s) + 8CO_2(g)$ $\Delta H^\circ = (-75 + 47) \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ The chemical reaction is exactly twice that required, so for one mole of $Fe_3O_4(s)$ , the $\Delta H^\circ = (-75 + 47) / 2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} = -14 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ .						
	Alternatively, using the data in the next part of the question,						
	$\Delta_{\rm rxn}H^{\rm o} = \Sigma m \Delta_{\rm f}H^{\rm o}({\rm products}) - \Sigma n \Delta_{\rm f}H^{\rm o}({\rm reactants}),$						
	$\Delta_{\rm rxn}H^{\rm o} = [4\Delta_{\rm f}H^{\rm o}({\rm CO}_2({\rm g})] - [\Delta_{\rm f}H^{\rm o}({\rm Fe}_3{\rm O}_4({\rm s}) + 4\Delta_{\rm f}H^{\rm o}({\rm CO}({\rm g})]$						
	$\Delta_{\rm f} H^{\rm o}({\rm Fe}({\rm s})) = 0$ as it is an element in its standard state.						
	Hence using the data in the table below:						
$\Delta_{\rm rxn} H^{\circ} = ([4 \times -394] - [-1118 + 4 \times -111]) \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} = -14 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$							
	Answer: $\Delta_{rxn}H^\circ = -14 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$						
	ANSWER CONTINUES ON THE NEXT PAGE						

Another iron oxide that can be formed as an intermediate during reduction is FeO. Use the following table of thermochemical data to show whether the formation of FeO from  $Fe_3O_4$  is spontaneous or not at 25 °C.

	$\Delta_{\rm f} H^{\circ}  ({\rm kJ}  {\rm mol}^{-1})$	$S^{\circ}$ (J K <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> )
FeO	-272	61
Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	-1118	146
CO	-111	198
$CO_2$	-394	214

For the reaction,

 $Fe_3O_4(s) + CO(g) \rightarrow 3FeO(s) + CO_2(g)$ 

$$\Delta_{rxn}H^{\circ} = \Sigma m \Delta_{f}H^{\circ}(\text{products}) - \Sigma n \Delta_{f}H^{\circ}(\text{reactants})$$
  
= ([3 × -272 -394] - [-1118 - 111]) kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> = +19 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>

$$\Delta_{\rm rxn} S^{\circ} = \Sigma m S^{\circ} (\text{products}) - \Sigma n S^{\circ} (\text{reactants})$$
  
= ([3 × 61 + 214] - [146 + 146]) J K<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> = +53 J K<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>

Thus,

$$\Delta_{rxn}G^{\circ} = \Delta_{rxn}H^{\circ} - T\Delta_{rxn}S^{\circ}$$
  
= (+19 × 10<sup>3</sup> J mol<sup>-1</sup>) – (298 K)(53 J K<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>)  
= +3200 J mol<sup>-1</sup> = +3.2 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>

As  $\Delta_{rxn} G^{\circ} > 0$ , the reaction is not spontaneous.

Marks • A 150.0 g block of iron metal is cooled by placing it in an insulated container with 4 a 50.0 g block of ice at 0.0 °C. The ice melts, and when the system comes to equilibrium the temperature of the water is 78.0 °C. What was the original temperature (in °C) of the iron? The specific heat capacity of liquid water is 4.184 J  $K^{-1}$  g<sup>-1</sup>. Data: The specific heat capacity of solid iron is  $0.450 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ g}^{-1}$ . The molar enthalpy of fusion of ice (water) is  $6.007 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . The heat from the iron is used to melt the ice and to warm the water from 0.0 °C to 78.0 °C. The molar mass of H<sub>2</sub>O is  $(2 \times 1.008 \text{ (H)} + 16.00 \text{ (O)})$  g mol<sup>-1</sup> = 18.02 g mol<sup>-1</sup>. Hence 50.0 g of ice corresponds to: number of moles = mass / molar mass =  $(50.0 \text{ g}) / (18.02 \text{ g mol}^{-1}) = 2.775 \text{ mol}.$ Hence the heat used to melt ice is:  $q_1 = 6.007 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \times 2.775 \text{ mol} = 16.67 \text{ kJ} = 16670 \text{ J}$ The heat used to warm 50.0 g water by 78.0 °C is:  $q_2 = m \times C \times \Delta T = (50.0 \text{ g}) \times (4.184 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ g}^{-1}) \times (78.0 \text{ K}) = 16320 \text{ J}$ Overall, the heat transferred from the iron is:  $q = q_1 + q_2 = 16670 \text{ J} + 16320 \text{ J} = 32990 \text{ J}$ This heat is lost from 150.0 g of iron leading to it cooling by  $\Delta T$ :  $q = m \times C \times \Delta T = (150.0 \text{ g}) \times (0.450 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ g}^{-1}) \times \Delta T = 32990 \text{ J}$  $\Delta T = 489 \text{ K} = 489 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ As the final temperature of the iron is 78.0 °C, its original temperature was (78.0 + 489) °C = 567 °C. Answer: 567 °C

Marks 5

• The freezing point of a sample of seawater is measured as -2.15 °C at 1 atm pressure. Assuming that the concentrations of other solutes are negligible, and that the salt does not significantly change the density of the water from  $1.00 \text{ kg L}^{-1}$ , determine the concentration (in mol  $L^{-1}$ ) of NaCl in this sample. (The molal freezing point depression constant for H<sub>2</sub>O is 1.86 °C m<sup>-1</sup>) The freezing point depression,  $\Delta T_{\rm f}$ , is given by,  $\Delta T_{\rm f} = K_{\rm f} m$ where  $K_{\rm f}$  is the molal freezing point depression and *m* is the molality. The molality is the number of moles of particles dissolved in a kilogram of solvent. If  $\Delta T_{\rm f} = 2.15 \,^{\circ}{\rm C}$  and  $K_{\rm f} = 1.86 \,^{\circ}{\rm C} \,{\rm m}^{-1}$ :  $m = \Delta T_{\rm f} / K_{\rm f} = (2.15 \,{}^{\circ}{\rm C}) / (1.86 \,{}^{\circ}{\rm C} \,{\rm m}^{-1}) = 1.156 \,{\rm m}^{-1} = 1.156 \,{\rm mol} \,{\rm kg}^{-1}$ A mole of NaCl dissolves to give two particles (Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup>) so (1.156 / 2) mol = 0.578 mol of NaCl per kilogram of water is needed. As the density of the solution is 1.00 kg L<sup>-1</sup>, a kilogram of solution has a volume of one litre. Hence: concentration required =  $0.578 \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1}$ Answer:  $0.578 \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1}$ In principle, it would be possible to desalinate this water by pumping it into a cylindrical tower, and allowing gravity to push pure water through a semipermeable membrane at the bottom. At 25 °C, how high would the tower need to be for this to work? (The density of liquid Hg at 25 °C is 13.53 g cm<sup>-3</sup>.) The osmotic pressure,  $\Pi$ , is given by  $\Pi = cRT$  where c is the concentration of the particles. From above,  $c = (2 \times 0.578)$  mol L<sup>-1</sup> and so:  $\Pi = (2 \times 0.578 \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1}) \times (0.08206 \text{ atm } \text{L mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}) \times (298 \text{ K}) = 28.3 \text{ atm}$ As 1 atm = 760 mmHg, this corresponds to  $(28.3 \times 760)$  mmHg = 21500 mmHg. Considering the relative densities of water and Hg, the height of water required to exert this pressure would be: 21500 mmHg =  $(21500 \times \frac{13.53}{1.000})$  mmH<sub>2</sub>O = 291000 mmH<sub>2</sub>O or 291 mH<sub>2</sub>O. The tower would need to be 291 m in height. Answer: 291 m

49.0

 $K_{\rm c}(2) = 1/(1.075 \times 10^8)$ 

• At 700 °C, hydrogen and iodine react according to the following equation.

$$H_2(g) + I_2(g) \iff 2HI(g) \qquad K_c =$$

Hydrogen also reacts with sulfur at 700 °C:

 $2H_2S(g) \iff 2H_2(g) + S_2(g)$ 

 $2H_2(g) + S_2(g) \implies 2H_2S(g) \qquad K_c = 1.075 \times 10^8$ 

Determine  $K_c$  for the following overall equilibrium reaction at 700 °C.

 $2I_2(g) + 2H_2S(g) \iff S_2(g) + 4HI(g)$ 

The overall reaction corresponds to the twice the first reaction combined with the reverse of the second reaction:

 $2H_2(g) + 2I_2(g) \iff 4HI(g)$   $K_c(1) = (49.0)^2$ 

 $2I_2(g) + 2H_2S(g) \iff S_2(g) + 4HI(g) \qquad \qquad K_c(3) = K_c(1) \times K_c(2)$ 

The 1<sup>st</sup> reaction is doubled so the original equilibrium constant is squared.

The 2<sup>nd</sup> reaction is reversed so the reciprocal of the equilibrium constant is used.

The two reactions are then combined and the overall equilibrium constant is then the product:

$$K_{\rm c}(3) = K_{\rm c}(1) \times K_{\rm c}(2) = (49.0)^2 \times (1/(1.075 \times 10^8) = 2.23 \times 10^{-5})$$

$$K_{\rm c} = 2.23 \times 10^{-5}$$

What is the standard free energy change at 700 °C for this overall equilibrium reaction?

The equilibrium constant in terms of pressures is first converted into the equilibrium constant in terms of pressures using  $K_p = K_c(RT)^{\Delta n}$ . The reaction involves the conversion of 4 mol of gas to 5 mol of gas so  $\Delta n = +1$  and:

 $K_{\rm p} = K_{\rm c}(RT)^{\Delta n} = (2.23 \times 10^{-5}) \times (0.08206 \times 973)^1 = 0.00178$ 

Note that as  $K_c$  is in terms of concentration units of mol L<sup>-1</sup>, R = 0.08206 atm L mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup> has been used.

As  $\Delta G^{\circ} = -RT \ln K_{\rm p}$ :

 $\Delta G^{\circ} = -(8.314 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1})^{\circ} (973 \text{ K}) \times \ln(0.00178) = +51.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ 

Answer:  $+51.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ 

THIS QUESTION CONTINUES ON THE NEXT PAGE.

If 0.250 mol of HI(g) is introduced into a 2.00 L flask at 700 °C, what will be the concentration of  $I_2(g)$  at equilibrium?

The initial concentration of HI(g) is  $0.250 / 2.00 \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1} = 0.125 \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1}$ .

	H <sub>2</sub> (g)	I <sub>2</sub> (g)	+	2HI(g)
Initial	0	0		0.125
Change	+x	+x		-2 <i>x</i>
Equilibrium	x	x		0.125 - 2x

Thus,

$$K_{\rm c} = \frac{[{\rm HI}]^2}{[{\rm H}_2][{\rm I}_2]} = \frac{(0.125 - 2x)^2}{(x)(x)} = \frac{(0.125 - 2x)^2}{x^2} = 49.0$$
 (from 2008-N-5)

$$(49.0)^{1/2} = \frac{(0.125 - 2x)}{x}$$

Rearranging gives  $x = [I_2(g)] = 0.0139$  M.

Answer: 0.0139 M

If 0.274 g of  $H_2S$  were now introduced into the same flask, what would be the concentration of  $S_2(g)$  at equilibrium?

The molar mass of H<sub>2</sub>S is  $(2 \times 1.008 \text{ (H)} + 32.06 \text{ (S)}) = 34.08 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$ . Hence, 0.274 g of H<sub>2</sub>S corresponds to:

number of moles = mass / molar mass =  $(0.274 \text{ g}) / (34.08 \text{ g mol}^{-1}) = 8.04 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol}$ 

The initial concentration of H<sub>2</sub>S is thus  $8.04 \times 10^{-3}$  mol / 2.00 M =  $4.02 \times 10^{-3}$  M.

From above,  $[I_2(g)] = 0.0139$  M and  $[HI(g)] = (0.125 - 2 \times 0.0139)$  M = 0.00972 M.

Using the overall equilibrium reaction derived in 2008-N-5:

	2I <sub>2</sub> (g)	2H <sub>2</sub> S(g)	1	S <sub>2</sub> (g)	4HI(g)
Initial	0.0139	0.00402		0	0.00972
Change	-2x	-2x		+x	+2x
Equilibrium	0.0139 - 2 <i>x</i>	0.00402 - 2x		x	0.00972 + 4x

### ANSWER CONTINUES ON THE NEXT PAGE

Marks

Thus,

$$K_{\rm c} = \frac{[S_2][HI]^4}{[I_2]^2[I_2]^2} = \frac{(x)(0.00972 + 4x)^4}{(0.0139 - 2x)^2(0.00402 - 2x)^2}$$
  

$$\sim \frac{(x)(0.00972)^4}{(0.0139)^2(0.00402)^2} = 2.23 \times 10^{-5} \text{ (from 2008-N-5)}$$
  
where the small x approximation has been used as  $K_{\rm c}$  is so small. This gives:  

$$x = [S_2(g)] = 7.82 \times 10^{-10} \text{ M}$$

Answer:  $7.82 \times 10^{-10}$  M

• Calculate the pH of a 0.10 mol  $L^{-1}$  solution of HF. (The p $K_a$  of HF is 3.17.)

Marks 6

# HF is a weak acid so the equilibrium concentrations need to be calculated using a reaction table:

	HF	-	H <sup>+</sup> (aq)	F (aq)
Initial	0.10		0	0
Change	- <i>x</i>		+x	+x
Equilibrium	0.10 - x		x	x

As  $pK_a = -\log_{10}K_a$ , at equilibrium,

$$K_{\rm a} = \frac{[{\rm H}^+({\rm aq})][{\rm F}^-({\rm aq})]}{[{\rm HF}({\rm aq})]} = \frac{(x)(x)}{(0.10-x)} = \frac{x^2}{(0.10-x)} = 10^{-3.17}$$

As  $K_a$  is so small, x will be tiny and  $0.10 - x \sim 0.10$  and so

$$x^2 = 10^{-3.17} \times 0.10$$
 or  $x = [H^+(aq)] = 0.00822$  M

As  $pH = -log_{10}[H^+(aq)]$ ,

$$pH = -log_{10}(0.00822) = 2.09$$

Answer: 2.09

What mass of NaF needs to be added to 100.0 mL of the above solution to make a buffer with a pH of 3.00?

Using the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation for the pH of the buffer:

$$\mathbf{pH} = \mathbf{pK}_{\mathbf{a}} + \log\left(\frac{[\mathbf{base}]}{[\mathbf{acid}]}\right)$$

To make a buffer with pH = 3.00 and [acid] = [HF] = 0.10 M:

$$3.00 = 3.17 + \log\left(\frac{[F^-]}{0.10}\right)$$
 or  $\log\left(\frac{[F^-]}{0.10}\right) = =0.17$ 

Hence,

 $[F^{-}] = 0.10 \times 10^{-0.17} = 0.068 M$ 

The number of moles in 100.0 mL is thus 0.0068 mol. As NaF will dissolve to give one F<sup>-</sup> per formula unit, this is also the number of moles of NaF required.

### ANSWER CONTINUES ON THE NEXT PAGE

The formula mass of NaF is (22.99 (Na) + 19.00 (F)) g mol<sup>-1</sup> = 41.99 g mol<sup>-1</sup>. The mass of NaF required is thus:

mass = number of moles × formula mass =  $(0.0068 \text{ mol}) \times (41.99 \text{ g mol}^{-1}) = 0.28 \text{ g}$ 

Answer: **0.28 g** 

Explain why HCl is a much stronger acid than HF.

Cl is a much larger atom than F and is less electronegative. The H–Cl bond is therefore much longer and weaker than the H–F bond. The H–Cl bond is therefore easier to break and it is the stronger acid.

HF is actually a weak acid. F is smaller and more electronegative than O, so the H–F bond is stronger than the O–H bond. There is consequently little dissociation of HF when it is dissolved in water.

• Cisplatin, [Pt(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>], is a particularly effective chemotherapy agent against certain types of cancer. Calculate the concentration of Pt<sup>2+</sup>(aq) ions in solution when 0.075 mol of cisplatin is dissolved in 1.00 L of a 1.00 M solution of NH<sub>3</sub>.  $K_{\text{stab}}$  of [Pt(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>] =  $3.4 \times 10^{12}$ .

The initial concentration of cisplatin when 0.075 mol is dissolved in 1.00 L is 0.075 M.

As  $K_{\text{stab}}$  refers to the formation of the complex, the reaction table is:

	Pt <sup>2+</sup> (aq)	2Cl <sup>-</sup> (aq)	2NH <sub>3</sub> (aq)	-	[Pt(NH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> ]
Initial	0	0	1.00		0.075
Change	+x	+2x	+2x		- <i>x</i>
Equilibrium	x	2x	1.00 + 2x		0.075 - <i>x</i>

Hence:

$$K_{\text{stab}} = \frac{[\text{Pt}(\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2]}{[\text{Pt}^{2+}][\text{Cl}^{-}]^2[\text{NH}_3]^2} = \frac{(0.075 - x)}{(x)(2x)^2(1.00 + 2x)^2} = 3.4 \times 10^{12}$$

As  $K_{\text{stab}}$  is so large, x will be very, very small and so  $(1.00 + 2x) \sim 1.00$  and  $(0.075 - x) \sim 0.075$ . With this:

$$K_{\text{stab}} \sim \frac{(0.075)}{(x)(2x)^2(1.00)^2} = \frac{(0.075)}{(4x)^3} = 3.4 \times 10^{12}$$

$$x = [Pt^{2+}(aq)] = 1.8 \times 10^{-5} M$$

Answer:  $1.8 \times 10^{-5}$  M

What changes would occur to the values of  $K_{\text{stab}}$  for cisplatin and the concentration of  $Pt^{2+}(aq)$  ions if solid KCl were dissolved in the above solution?

K <sub>stab</sub>	increase	<u>no change</u>	decrease
$[Pt^{2+}(aq)]$	increase	no change	<u>decrease</u>

(i)  $K_{\text{stab}}$  is the stability constant – it is a constant at any given temperature.

(ii) From Le Chatelier's principle, if [Cl<sup>-</sup>(aq)] is increased then the equilibrium will shift to the right and so [Pt<sup>2+</sup>(aq)] will decrease.

5

Marks • A galvanic cell is made of a  $Zn^{2+}/Zn$  half cell with  $[Zn^{2+}] = 2.0$  M and an Ag<sup>+</sup>/Ag half cell with  $[Ag^{+}] = 0.050$  M. Calculate the electromotive force of the cell at 25 °C.

The standard reduction reactions and potentials for the two half cells are:

Zn<sup>2+</sup>(aq) + 2e<sup>-</sup> → Zn(s) 
$$E^{\circ} = -0.76 \text{ V}$$
  
Ag<sup>+</sup>(aq) + e<sup>-</sup> → Ag(s)  $E^{\circ} = +0.80 \text{ V}$ 

The least positive  $(Zn^{2+}/Zn)$  couple is reversed giving the overall reaction:

$$Zn(s) + 2Ag^{+}(aq) \rightarrow Zn^{2+}(aq) + 2Ag(s)$$
  $E^{\circ} = (+0.76 \text{ V}) + (0.80) = 1.56 \text{ V}$ 

As non-standard concentrations are used, the cell potential is calculated using the Nernst equation. The reaction involves the transfer of  $2e^{-}$  so with n = 2 this becomes:

$$E = E^{\circ} - \frac{RT}{nF} \ln Q = E^{\circ} - \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \left( \frac{[\text{Zn}^{2+}(\text{aq})]}{[\text{Ag}^{+}(\text{aq})]^2} \right)$$
  
= (+1.56 V) -  $-\frac{(8.314 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1})(298 \text{ K})}{(2 \times 96485 \text{ C mol}^{-1})} \ln \left( \frac{2.0}{0.050^2} \right) = +1.47 \text{ V}$   
Answer: +1.47 V

Calculate the equilibrium constant of the reaction at 25 °C.

The equilibrium constant is related to the standard cell potential:

$$E^{\circ} = \frac{RT}{nF} \ln K$$

Hence,

$$\ln K = E^{\circ} \times \frac{nF}{RT} = (1.56 \text{ V}) \times \frac{(2 \times 96485 \text{ C mol}^{-1})}{(8.314 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1})(298 \text{ K})} = 121.5$$
$$K = 5.9 \times 10^{52}$$

Answer:  $K = 5.9 \times 10^{52}$ 

Calculate the standard Gibbs free energy of the reaction at 25 °C.

Using 
$$\Delta G^{\circ} = nFE^{\circ}$$
:  
 $\Delta G^{\circ} = -(2 \times 96485 \text{ C mol}^{-1}) \times (+1.56 \text{ V}) = -301 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ 
Answer: -301 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>

Indicate whether the reaction is spontaneous or not. Give a reason for your answer.

#### As E > 0, $\Delta G^{\circ} < 0$ and *K* is very large: the reaction is spontaneous.

Express the overall reaction in the shorthand voltaic cell notation.

## $Zn(s) | Zn^{2+}(aq) (2.0 M) | | Ag^{+}(aq) (0.050 M) | Ag(s)$

• Outline the rules that determine nuclear stability.

The ratio of neutrons to protons (N/Z) is approximately 1 for low atomic numbers ( $Z \le 20$ ), but it slowly rises to about 1.5 as Z increases.

All elements with Z > 83 are unstable.

Atoms with even numbers of N and Z tend to be more stable than those with odd numbers. There are some particularly stable nuclei where the number of neutrons and or protons = 2, 8, 20, 28, 50, 82 and 126.

• Explain why surface effects are important in colloidal systems.

2

The small size of the colloidal particles means that they have a very large total surface area.

The colloid can be stabilised by steric and/or electrostatic effects. If surface interactions are unfavourable, they are minimised by flocculation and coagulation.

• Explain how soap acts to remove oil.

Soap molecules consist of a long hydrophobic tail and a charged hydrophilic head.

The molecules are able to form micelles (see diagram below) in which the tails interact with the oil particles and the heads interact with the water.

In this way, the oil is dissolved in the water and can be removed.



• A melt of NaCl is electrolysed for 35 minutes with a current of 3.50 A. Calculate the mass of sodium and volume of chlorine at 40 °C and 1.00 atm that are formed.

4

Marks

2

The number of moles of electrons delivered by a current, *I*, of 3.50 A in 35 minutes is:

number of moles of electrons = 
$$\frac{It}{F} = \frac{(3.50 \text{ A})(35 \times 60 \text{ s})}{(96485 \text{ C mol}^{-1})} = 0.076 \text{ mol}$$

The overall electrolysis reaction, NaCl(l)  $\rightarrow$  Na(s) +  $\frac{1}{2}$ Cl<sub>2</sub>(g), corresponds to reduction of Na<sup>+</sup> and oxidation of CI<sup>-</sup>:

 $Na^+ + e^- \rightarrow Na$  and  $Cl^- \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}Cl_2 + e^-$ 

As one mole of electrons would produce one mole of Na and half a mole of Cl<sub>2</sub>:

number of moles of Na = 0.076 mol

number of moles of  $Cl_2 = \frac{1}{2} \times 0.076$  mol = 0.038 mol

The mass of Na produced is therefore:

mass of Na = number of moles × atomic mass

 $= (0.076 \text{ mol}) \times (22.99 \text{ g mol}^{-1}) = 1.8 \text{ g}$ 

Using the ideal gas law, PV = nRT, the volume of Cl<sub>2</sub> produced is:

$$V = \frac{nRT}{P} = \frac{(0.038 \text{ mol})(0.08206 \text{ L atm } \text{K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1})(313 \text{ K})}{(1.00 \text{ atm})} = 0.98 \text{ L}$$

Marks

4

• Draw the potential energy diagram for an endothermic reaction. Indicate on the diagram the activation energy for both the forward and reverse reaction, and the enthalpy of reaction.



As the reaction is endothermic, the energy of the products is higher than that of the reactants.

Would you expect the forward or the reverse reaction to be faster? Why?

The backward reaction would be faster as it has a lower activation energy.

This is a consequence of the reaction being endothermic. As the products have higher energy than the reactants and the same transition state is involved in both the forward and backward reactions, the activation energy for the backward reaction is larger than that for the forward reaction.

The experimentally determined rate equation is: Rate = $k[NO_2(g)]^2$ Show the rate expression is consistent with the following mechanism: Step 1 $2NO_2(g) \rightleftharpoons N_2O_4(g)$ fast Step 2 $N_2O_4(g) \rightarrow NO(g) + NO_3(g)$ slow Step 3 $NO_3(g) + CO(g) \rightarrow NO_2(g) + CO_2(g)$ fast Step 2 is rate determining step and this will determine the rate of the reaction. The subsequent step can be ignored in working out the rate. Step 2 involves the decomposition of $N_2O_4$ and depends only on its concentration: rate = $k_2[N_2O_4(g)]$ As this involves the concentration of a reaction intermediate, it is not experimentally testable. The rate law should only involve the concentration of reactants, as their concentrations can be controlled.	• Consider the rea	ction: $NO_2(g) + CO(g) \rightarrow NO(g) + CO(g)$	$D_2(g)$	Ma 4
Show the rate expression is consistent with the following mechanism: Step 1 $2NO_2(g) \iff N_2O_4(g)$ fast Step 2 $N_2O_4(g) \rightarrow NO(g) + NO_3(g)$ slow Step 3 $NO_3(g) + CO(g) \rightarrow NO_2(g) + CO_2(g)$ fast Step 2 is rate determining step and this will determine the rate of the reaction. The subsequent step can be ignored in working out the rate. Step 2 involves the decomposition of $N_2O_4$ and depends only on its concentration: $rate = k_2[N_2O_4(g)]$ As this involves the concentration of a reaction intermediate, it is not experimentally testable. The rate law should only involve the concentration of reactants, as their concentrations can be controlled.	The experimenta	ally determined rate equation is: $Rate = k[NO]$	$[2(g)]^2$	
Step 1 $2NO_2(g) \iff N_2O_4(g)$ fastStep 2 $N_2O_4(g) \rightarrow NO(g) + NO_3(g)$ slowStep 3 $NO_3(g) + CO(g) \rightarrow NO_2(g) + CO_2(g)$ fastStep 2 is rate determining step and this will determine the rate of the reaction. The subsequent step can be ignored in working out the rate.Step 2 involves the decomposition of $N_2O_4$ and depends only on its concentration: $rate = k_2[N_2O_4(g)]$ As this involves the concentration of a reaction intermediate, it is not experimentally testable. The rate law should only involve the concentration of reactants, as their concentrations can be controlled.	Show the rate ex	pression is consistent with the following mechan	nism:	
Step 2 $N_2O_4(g) \rightarrow NO(g) + NO_3(g)$ slowStep 3 $NO_3(g) + CO(g) \rightarrow NO_2(g) + CO_2(g)$ fastStep 2 is rate determining step and this will determine the rate of the reaction. The subsequent step can be ignored in working out the rate.Step 2 involves the decomposition of $N_2O_4$ and depends only on its concentration: $rate = k_2[N_2O_4(g)]$ As this involves the concentration of a reaction intermediate, it is not experimentally testable. The rate law should only involve the concentration of reactants, as their concentrations can be controlled.	Step 1	$2NO_2(g) \iff N_2O_4(g)$	fast	
Step 3 $NO_3(g) + CO(g) \rightarrow NO_2(g) + CO_2(g)$ fastStep 2 is rate determining step and this will determine the rate of the reaction. The subsequent step can be ignored in working out the rate.Step 2 involves the decomposition of $N_2O_4$ and depends only on its concentration: $rate = k_2[N_2O_4(g)]$ As this involves the concentration of a reaction intermediate, it is not experimentally testable. The rate law should only involve the concentration of reactants, as their concentrations can be controlled.	Step 2	$N_2O_4(g) \rightarrow NO(g) + NO_3(g)$	slow	
Step 2 is rate determining step and this will determine the rate of the reaction. The subsequent step can be ignored in working out the rate. Step 2 involves the decomposition of $N_2O_4$ and depends only on its concentration: rate = $k_2[N_2O_4(g)]$ As this involves the concentration of a reaction intermediate, it is not experimentally testable. The rate law should only involve the concentration of reactants, as their concentrations can be controlled.	Step 3	$NO_3(g) + CO(g) \rightarrow NO_2(g) + CO_2(g)$	fast	
As this involves the concentration of a reaction intermediate, it is not experimentally testable. The rate law should only involve the concentration of reactants, as their concentrations can be controlled.	Step 2 is rate d The subsequent Step 2 involv concentration:	letermining step and this will determine the t step can be ignored in working out the rate. yes the decomposition of N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> and dep	rate of the reaction. pends only on its	
	Step 2 is rate d The subsequent Step 2 involv concentration: rate = $k_2 [N_2 O_2 O_2 O_2 O_2 O_2 O_2 O_2 O_2 O_2 O$	letermining step and this will determine the t step can be ignored in working out the rate. yes the decomposition of N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> and dep D <sub>4</sub> (g)]	rate of the reaction. pends only on its	-
	Step 2 is rate d The subsequent Step 2 involv concentration: $rate = k_2[N_2(C$ As this involv experimentally reactants, as the As step 2 is slov	letermining step and this will determine the t step can be ignored in working out the rate. wes the decomposition of $N_2O_4$ and dep $D_4(g)$ ] wes the concentration of a reaction inter- testable. The rate law should only involve the eir concentrations can be controlled.	rate of the reaction. pends only on its rmediate, it is not the concentration of m.	
	Step 2 is rate d The subsequent Step 2 involv concentration: $rate = k_2[N_2(C$ As this involv experimentally reactants, as the As step 2 is slow	letermining step and this will determine the t step can be ignored in working out the rate. wes the decomposition of $N_2O_4$ and dep $D_4(g)$ ] wes the concentration of a reaction inter testable. The rate law should only involve eir concentrations can be controlled.	rate of the reaction. pends only on its rmediate, it is not the concentration of m.	

rate of forward reaction =  $k_1[NO_2(g)]^2$ 

The backward reaction involves the decomposition of  $N_2O_4$  and so depends only on its concentration:

rate of backward reaction =  $k_{-1}[N_2O_4(g)]$ 

If step 1 is at equilibrium then the rate of the forward and backward reactions will be equal:

$$k_1[NO_2(g)]^2 = k_{-1}[N_2O_4(g)]$$
 or  $[N_2O_4(g)] = \frac{k_1}{k_{-1}}[NO_2(g)]^2 = K_{eq}[NO_2(g)]^2$ 

Using this expression for [N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(g)] gives:

rate = 
$$k_2[N_2O_4(g)] = \frac{k_1k_2}{k_{-1}} [NO_2(g)]^2$$
 or rate =  $k_2K_{eq}[NO_2(g)]^2$ 

This is consistent with the experiment rate law with  $k = \frac{k_1 k_2}{k_{-1}} = k_2 K_{eq}$ .

- 2
- The rate constant of a particular reaction quadruples when the temperature is increased from 30 °C to 50 °C. Calculate the activation energy,  $E_a$ , for this reaction.

The temperature dependence of the rate constant is given by the Arrhenius equation,  $k = A \exp(-E_a/RT)$ . Taking the natural logarithm of this gives:

$$\ln k = \ln A - \frac{E_a}{RT}$$

The rate constant at one temperature is thus related to that at another temperature by:

$$\ln\left(\frac{k_2}{k_1}\right) = \frac{E_a}{R} \left(\frac{1}{T_2} - \frac{1}{T_1}\right)$$

If the rate constant quadruples then  $\frac{k_2}{k_1} = 4$ . With  $T_2 = 323$  K and  $T_1 = 303$  K:

$$\ln(4) = \frac{E_a}{R} \left( \frac{1}{323} - \frac{1}{303} \right)$$
$$E_a = +56.4 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

 $E_{\rm a} = +56.4 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ 

Marks

8

• An Ag electrode immersed in a saturated aqueous solution of AgBr has a reduction potential of 0.437 V at 25 °C with respect to the standard hydrogen electrode. Calculate the solubility product of AgBr at 25 °C.

The standard potential for the reduction  $Ag^+(aq) + e^- \rightarrow Ag(s), E^\circ = +0.80 \text{ V}.$ 

The aqueous solution of AgBr will have  $[Ag^+(aq)]$  equal to the solubility of AgBr. This solution has a non-standard concentration of  $Ag^+(aq)$  and its potential is given by the Nernst equation with n = 1 for this reduction:

$$E = E^{\circ} - \frac{RT}{nF} \ln Q = E^{\circ} - \frac{RT}{F} \ln \left(\frac{1}{[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})]}\right)$$

$$E = (+0.80 \text{ V}) - \frac{(8.314 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1})(298 \text{ K})}{(1 \times 96485 \text{ C mol}^{-1})} \ln\left(\frac{1}{[\text{Ag}^+(\text{aq})]}\right) = +0.437 \text{ V}$$

This gives  $[Ag^+(aq)] = 7.25 \times 10^{-7}$ .

As dissolution of AgBr(s) leads to equal amounts of  $Ag^+(aq)$  and  $Br^-(aq)$ , this is also equal to  $[Br^-(aq)]$ . Thus:

$$K_{\rm sp} = [{\rm Ag}^+({\rm aq})][{\rm Br}^-({\rm aq})] = (7.25 \times 10^{-7})^2 = 5.3 \times 10^{-13}$$

Answer: 
$$K_{\rm sp} = 5.3 \times 10^{-13}$$

A Pd electrode immersed in an aqueous solution containing 0.01 Pd(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> M and 1.00 M NaCl has a reduction potential of -0.860 V at 25 °C with respect to the Ag electrode above. Calculate the stability constant of the complex ion,  $[PdCl_4]^{2-}$ , at 25 °C.

The standard potential for the reduction  $Pd^{2+}(aq) + 2e^{-} \rightarrow Pd(s), E^{\circ} = +0.92 V.$ 

The reduction potential of the Pd cell is -0.860 V with respect to the Ag electrode, which has a potential of +0.437 V. Thus,

$$E = (-0.860 + 0.437 \text{ V}) = -0.423 \text{ V}.$$

The aqueous solution will have a non-standard concentration of  $Pd^{2+}(aq)$  and its potential is given by the Nernst equation with n = 2 for this reduction:

$$E = E^{\circ} - \frac{RT}{nF} \ln Q = E^{\circ} - \frac{RT}{2F} \ln \left(\frac{1}{[Pd^{2+}(aq)]}\right)$$
$$E = (+0.92 \text{ V}) - \frac{\left(8.314 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}\right)(298 \text{ K})}{(2 \times 96485 \text{ C mol}^{-1})} \ln \left(\frac{1}{[Pd^{2+}(aq)]}\right) = -0.423 \text{ V}$$

This gives  $[Pd^{2+}(aq)] = 3.7 \times 10^{-46}$ .

For the formation of  $[PdCl_4]^{2-}(aq)$ , the reaction table is:

	Pd <sup>2+</sup> (aq)	4Cl <sup>-</sup> (aq)	-	[PdCl <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>2-</sup>
Initial	0.01	1.00		0
Equilibrium	$3.7 \times 10^{-46}$	0.96		0.01

As  $[Pd^{2+}(aq)]_{eq}$  is so small, *essentially* all of the initially present  $Pd^{2+}$  reacts with 4Cl<sup>-</sup> to make  $[PdCl_4]^{2-}$  so  $[Cl^-]_{eq} = 1.00 - 4 \times 0.01 \text{ M} = 0.96 \text{ M}$  and  $[PdCl_4]^{2-} = 0.01 \text{ M}$ .

Hence:

$$K_{\text{stab}} = \frac{[\text{PdCl}_4^{2^-}]}{[\text{Pd}^{2^+}][\text{Cl}^-]^4} = \frac{0.01}{(3.7 \times 10^{-46})(0.96)^4} = 3 \times 10^{43}$$
Answer:  $3 \times 10^{43}$